Mathematics 222B Lecture 5 Notes

Daniel Raban

February 1, 2022

1 Sobolev Inequalities

1.1 The Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality

We have been discussing Sobolev inequalities. Last time, we stated the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality). Let $d \ge 2$. For $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$||u||_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \le ||Du||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

To approach this, we proved a lemma:

Lemma 1.1 (Loomis-Whitney inequality). Let $d \ge 2$. For $j = 1, \ldots, d$, suppose $f_j = f_j(x^1, \ldots, x^j, \ldots, x^d)$. Then

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^{d} f_{j} \right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{d} \|f_{j}\|_{L^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}.$$

This answers the geometric question of controlling the measure of a set in \mathbb{R}^d using the measure of its projections, by applying the lemma to $f_j = \mathbb{1}_{\pi_{x^j}(E)}$. Now let's prove the GNS inequality.

Proof. Observe that if we take a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then we can write

$$u(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x^{j}} \partial_{x^{j}} u(x^{1}, \dots, x^{j-1}, y, x^{j+1}, \dots, x^{d}) \, dy,$$

using the fundamental theorem of calculus. Here, we use the compact support assumption to be sure this converges. This means that

$$|u(x)| \le \int_{-\infty}^{x^j} |\partial_{x^j} u(x^1, \dots, x^{j-1}, y, x^{j+1}, \dots, x^d)| dy.$$

We can upper bound this by replacing x^j by ∞ and ∂_{x^j} by D:

$$|u(x)| \leq \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Du(x^1, \dots, x^{j-1}, y, x^{j+1}, \dots, x^d)| dy}_{\widetilde{f}_j(x^1, \dots, \widehat{x}^j, \dots, x^d)}.$$

This means that we have

$$|u(x)| \leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^d \widetilde{f}_j\right),$$

which we can write as

$$|u(x)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}} \le \left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \widetilde{f_j}^{\frac{1}{d-1}}\right),$$

Using the Loomis-Whitney inequality,

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}}}^{\frac{d}{d-1}} &= \int |u|^{\frac{d}{d-1}} \, dx \\ &\leq \int \prod_{j=1}^{d} f_j \, dx \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^{d} \|f_j\|_{L^{d-1}} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left(\int |f_j|^{d-1} \, dx^1 \cdots \widehat{dx^j} \cdots \, dx^d \right)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} \end{split}$$

Observe that $|f_j|^{d-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Du(x^1, ..., x^j, ..., x^d)| \, dx^j = \int |Du| \, dx$, so

$$\leq \|Du\|_{L^1}^{\frac{d}{d-1}}.$$

Remark 1.1. GNS is the functional counterpart of the isoperimetric inequality. Given a function, we can make a layer cake decomposition in the y axis and apply the isoperimetric inequality to each part. This is useful for functions on manifolds where we have some geometric information.

1.2 Sobolev inequalities for L^p -based spaces with p < d

Now we will upgrade this to the case where we have other L^p spaces on the right hand side.

Theorem 1.2 (Sobolev inequalities for L^p -based spaces). Let $d \ge 2$, and assume that $1 . For <math>u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$||u||_{L^q}(\mathbb{R}^d) \le C ||Du||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where $q = \frac{dp}{d-p}$.

What is q? We do dimensional analysis to figure out the exponent. On the left hand side, we have $[x]^{d/q}$, and on the right hand side, we have $[x]^{-1+d/p}$. If we solve for q, we get $q = \frac{dp}{d-p}$. This also gives us the restriction that p < d.

Proof. Take $v = |u|^{\tilde{q}}$, where $\tilde{q} = \frac{q}{d/(d-1)}$. Its derivative is $|Dv| = q|u|^{q-1}|Dv|$. This can be justified using approximation: approximate |x| by $(\varepsilon^2 + x^2)^{1/2}v$ and let $\varepsilon \to 0$. Then

$$\int |u|^{\widetilde{q}} \, dx = \int |v|^{\frac{d}{d-1}} \, dx$$

Using the GNS inequality,

$$\leq \left(\int |Dv|\,dx\right)^{\frac{d-1}{d}}.$$

It is at this point that we need the above approximation. But it works, using the dominated convergence theorem.

$$= \left(\int |u|^{\widetilde{q}-1} |Du| \, dx\right)^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we can put |Du| into L^p , which puts $|u|^{-1}$ in $L^{p'}$. By dimensional analysis, it must happen that

$$\leq \|u\|_{L^q}^{\frac{d-1}{d}(q-1)}\|Du\|_{L^p}^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$$

This completes the proof.

Now we will upgrade this to every element in the abstract Sobolev space and to situations where we have a function which is bounded on an abstract domain.

Theorem 1.3. Let $d \ge 2$, and assume that $1 \le p < d$.

(i) For
$$u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
,
 $\|u\|_{L^q}(\mathbb{R}^d) \leq C \|Du\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$,
where $q = \frac{dp}{d-p}$.

(ii) Let U be a bounded domain. For $u \in W_0^{1,p}(U)$,

$$||u||_{L^q}(U) \le C ||Du||_{L^p(U)},$$

where $q = \frac{dp}{d-p}$.

(iii) Let U be a bounded domain with C^1 boundary ∂U . Then for $u \in W^{1,p}(U)$,

$$||u||_{L^q}(U) \le C ||Du||_{W^{1,p}(U)},$$

where $q = \frac{dp}{d-p}$.

Proof.

- (i) This is by density of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- (ii) This is by density, as well.
- (iii) This follows from extension and approximation.

Remark 1.2. In (iii), we need both $||u||_{L^p}$ and $||Du||_{L^p}$ in the extension procedure. Compare this to the case (ii), where no information of u was needed, since " $u|_{\partial U} = 0$." By this reason, (ii) is called a **Poincaré inequality** or **Friedrich inequality**.

1.3 Sobolev inequalities for L^p -based spaces with p > d

Next, we investigate: What does $||u||_{W^{1,p}}$ tell us when $p \ge d$? This will be based on another way to relate u with its derivative, Du. Start with $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and write down what we get by applying the fundamental theorem of calculus:

$$u(x) - u(y) = \int_0^1 = \frac{d}{ds}u(x + s(y - x)) \, dx.$$

The key idea is to average to take advantage of the fact that we are in multiple dimensions. Take absolute values and average this in y: Fix r > 0, so

$$\frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r} |u(x) - u(y)| \, dy \le \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{d}{ds} u(x + s(y - x)) \right| \, dx \, dy$$

By the chain rule, this derivative is $(y - x) \cdot Du(x + s(y - x))$.

$$\leq C \frac{1}{r^d} \int_{B_r(x)} \int_0^1 |x - y| |Du(x + s(y - x))| \, dx \, dy$$

Let $\rho \omega = y - x$, so that $\rho = |y - x|$.

$$= C \frac{1}{r^d} \int_0^r \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \rho |Du(x+s\rho\omega)| \, ds\rho^{d-1} \, d\omega \, d\rho$$

Make another change of variables, so we can make $x + s\rho\omega$ into an actual radius and then evaluate on of the integrals. We do $t = s\rho$

$$= C \frac{1}{r^d} \int_0^r \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{t^d}{s^d} \frac{1}{s} |Du(x+t\omega)| \, ds \, d\omega \, dt$$

Simplify the s integral and upper bound $t \leq r$:

$$\leq C \int_0^r \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |Du(x+t\omega)| \, d\omega \, dt$$
$$= C \int_{B_r(x)} \frac{|Du|}{|x-y|^{d-1}} \, dy.$$

We can summarize this as a lemma:

Lemma 1.2. Let p > d, let $d \ge 2$, and let $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r} |u(x) - u(y)| \, dy \le C \int_{B_r(x)} \frac{|Du|}{|x - y|^{d - 1}} \, dy.$$

Theorem 1.4. Let p > d with $d \ge 2$, and take $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \le C|x - y|^{\alpha} ||Du||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})},$$

where $\alpha = 1 - \frac{d}{p}$.

Again, we can find the value of α by dimensional analysis: $1 = \alpha + (-1) + \frac{d}{p}$ gives $\alpha = 1 - \frac{d}{p}$.

Proof. We will use the lemma. The idea is to introduce an auxiliary variable z and take the average over z on some domain U:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{|U|} \int_{U} |u(x) - u(y)| \, dz &\leq \frac{1}{|U|} \int_{U} |u(x) - u(z)| \, dz + \frac{1}{|U|} \int_{U} |u(y) - u(y)| \, dz \\ \text{Since } \frac{|B_r(x)|}{|U|} &\simeq 1, \\ &\lesssim \frac{|B_r(x)|}{|U|} \int_{B_r(x)} |u(x) - u(z)| \, dz + \frac{|B_r(y)|}{|U|} \int_{B_r(y)} |u(y) - u(z)| \, dz \\ &\lesssim \int_{B_r(x)} \frac{|Du|}{|x - z|^{d-1}} \, dz + \int_{B_r(y)} \frac{|Du|}{|y - z|^{d-1}} \, dz \\ &\lesssim \|Du\|_{L^p} \left\| \frac{1}{|x - z|^{d-1}} \right\|_{L^{p'}(B_r(x))} + \|Du\|_{L^p} \left\| \frac{1}{|y - z|^{d-1}} \right\|_{L^{p'}(B_r(y))} \end{split}$$

Now we just need to evaluate

$$\int_{B_r(0)} \frac{1}{|z|^{(d-1)p'}} dz \simeq r^{\alpha}.$$

1.4 Sobolev inequalities for L^p -based spaces with p = d

What about when p = d (and $d \ge 2$)? In this case, the inequality $||u||_{L^{\infty}(U)} \le ||u||_{W^{1,d}(U)}$ fails.

Example 1.1. Here is a counterexample to the above inequality when p = d = 2. Take $U = B_1(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and

$$u(x) = \log \log \left(10 + \frac{1}{|x|}\right).$$

A popular remedy for p = d is to think about bounded mean oscillation:

Definition 1.1. $u \in C^{\infty}$ has bounded mean oscillation (BMO) if

$$\|u\|_{\text{BMO}} = \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ r > 0}} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} \left| u(y) - \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} u \right| \, dy < \infty.$$

We can check that $||u||_{BMO} \leq C ||Du||_{L^d}$. We will discuss this next time and also introduce the concept of **Hölder space** to recontextualize the theorem we have just proven.